

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

New iron(III) nitrate hydrates: Fe(NO₃)₃·xH₂O with x = 4, 5 and 6

Horst Schmidt,^a* Annifrid Asztalos,^a Frank Bok^b and Wolfgang Voigt^a

^aTU Bergakademie Freiberg, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Leipziger Strasse 29, D-09596 Freiberg, Germany, and ^bHelmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Resource Ecology, Bautzner Landstrasse 400, D-01328 Dresden, Germany Correspondence e-mail: horst.schmidt@chemie.tu-freiberg.de

Received 8 March 2012 Accepted 11 April 2012 Online 12 May 2012

Crystals of the title compounds were grown from their hydrous melts or solutions. The crystal structure of iron(III) trinitrate hexahydrate {hexaaquairon(III) trinitrate, [Fe-(H₂O)₆](NO₃)₃} is built up from $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ octahedra and nitrate anions connected *via* hydrogen bonds. In iron(III) trinitrate pentahydrate {pentaaquanitratoiron(III) dinitrate, $[Fe(NO_3)(H_2O)_5](NO_3)_2$ }, one water molecule in the coordination octahedron of the Fe^{III} atom is substituted by an O atom of a nitrate group. Iron(III) trinitrate tetrahydrate {triaquadinitratoiron(III) nitrate monohydrate, $[Fe(NO_3)_2 (H_2O)_3]NO_3 \cdot H_2O$ } represents the first example of a simple iron(III) nitrate with pentagonal–bipyramidal coordination geometry, where two bidentate nitrate anions and one water molecule form a pentagonal plane.

Comment

The nonahydrate Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O crystallizes from aqueous solutions of iron(III) nitrate. Its crystal structure was reported by Hair & Beattie (1977). Because of its strong hygroscopicity and the tendency of iron(III) nitrate to hydrolyze and precipitate basic salts, neutral hydrates containing less than 9 mol water per mol salt do not crystallize from simple aqueous solutions. To prevent hydrolysis, lower hydrates can be crystallized only in the presence of high concentrations of nitric acid. Reports on the existence and stability of lower hydrates are contradictory. According to Cameron & Robinson (1909), the hexahydrate crystallizes from a solution of nonahydrate in nitric acid. Malquori (1929a,b) proposed the addition of N₂O₅. Hathaway & Underhill (1960) claimed to have synthesized a dihydrate by means of a reaction of anhydrous iron(III) chloride in pure nitric acid. Rodenko & Panov (1994) performed solubility determinations at temperatures in the range 283-313 K in the system Fe(NO₃)₃-HNO₃-H₂O. Graphically presented solubility isotherms were interpreted as branches from hexa- and tetrahydrate at nitric acid concentrations of 56-77% HNO₃ and 77-85% HNO₃, respectively. EL Goundali & Kaddami (2006, 2007, 2008) claimed the formation of an iron(III) nitrate hexahydrate within the system $Fe(NO_3)_3$ - $Co(NO_3)_2$ -HNO₃ at 303 K. Addison (1980) and Addison & Chapman (1965) thoroughly investigated reactions of liquid N_2O_4 with hydrated nitrates and metals. Addison stated that iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate reacted with N_2O_4 to yield a yellow-brown pentahydrate (Addison & Chapman, 1965). The same authors supposed that the reaction product of metallic iron with an N_2O_4/HNO_3 mixture was a dihydrate after two weeks evacuation. For all these phases, no X-ray diffraction pattern was recorded. The chemical formulae were derived from chemical analyses of the wet solid residues.

Crystals of the title compounds were grown from their hydrous melts or solutions in highly concentrated nitric acid.



Figure 1

(a) The asymmetric unit and symmetry-related atoms of Fe(NO₃)₃·6H₂O. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) -y + 1, -z + 1, -x + 1; (ii) -z + 1, -x + 1, -y + 1; (iii) y, z, x; (iv) z, x, y; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi) x, -y + 1, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.] (b) The [Fe(H₂O)₆]⁺ octahedron with hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) to nitrate anions (triangles) in iron(III) nitrate hexahydrate.



Figure 2

 $2 \times 2 \times 2$ unit cells of Fe(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, viewed in the *a*-axis direction.



Figure 3

The asymmetric unit of $Fe(NO_3)_3$ - $5H_2O$. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Iron(III) nitrate pentahydrate, (II), was prepared through the reaction of liquid N_2O_4 with iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate. The hexahydrate, (I), and tetrahydrate, (III), were obtained by dissolving the pentahydrate in highly concentrated nitric acid and subsequent evaporation at reduced pressure. With the successful preparation of single crystals and their structure determination in this work, the existence and stoichiometry of at least three lower hydrates can be confirmed unequivocally.

As expected, the crystal structure of the hexahydrate consists of discrete $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ octahedra and nitrate anions (see Fig. 1*a*). The $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ octahedra are connected *via* hydrogen bonds to nitrate ions, where every H atom of the six water molecules is in contact with a different nitrate anion, with a H···O distance of 1.89 (1) Å (Table 1), thus arranging 12 nitrate anions around an octahedral unit (Fig. 1*b*). The result is a complex but highly symmetric hydrogen-bond network, as shown in Fig. 2.

With a further decrease of the water content, nitrate ions enter the coordination sphere of iron. In $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·5H₂O, the



Figure 4

The chain structure in $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 5H_2O$, based on hydrogen bonds of the monodentate nitrate anions.



Figure 5

Noncoordinated nitrate anions connecting chains *via* hydrogen bonds in $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·5H₂O. Atoms O10/O11 and O12/O13 are bound to water molecules from the same cation, thereby spanning an edge of an octahedron, whereas O9 and O14 join different chains *via* the corners of adjacent octahedra.

Fe^{III} atom is still coordinated by six ligands (see Fig. 3) completing the coordination sphere with a monodentate nitrate ligand. One of the other O atoms of the coordinated nitrate ion forms a hydrogen bond to a water molecule of an adjacent octahedral unit (Fig. 4 and Table 2). This yields two nitrate octahedral chains running approximately in the [110] direction (Fig. 4). These chains are connected by the noncoordinated nitrate ions *via* hydrogen bonds, as shown in Fig. 5. Thus, two pairs of O atoms (O10/O11 and O12/O13) each span an edge of an octahedron and the third O atom (O9 and O14) combines with different chains. The result is a three-dimensional network (Fig. 6).

Surprisingly, in Fe(NO₃)₃·4H₂O, the coordination number of iron(III) is enhanced to seven in the form of a pentagonal bipyramid (Fig. 7). Two bidentate nitrate ions and one water molecule (O2) are arranged nearly exactly in a pentagonal plane. The other two coordinated water molecules in axial positions are nearly perpendicular to this plane. The noncoordinated water molecule is hydrogen bonded to an axial water molecule of one complex cation and to the equatorial water molecule of another complex cation (Table 3), thus forming binary complex units with the equatorial water molecules directed towards each other (Fig. 8). These double



Figure 6 Three-dimensional hydrogen-bond network in Fe(NO₃)₃·5H₂O.



Figure 7

The asymmetric unit of $Fe(NO_3)_3$.4H₂O. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

units are interconnected *via* hydrogen bonds involving the noncoordinated nitrate ions. As illustrated in Fig. 9, every water molecule of the complex unit is connected to a nitrate ion. Thus, one O atom of the nitrate (O12) ion connects two complex units through their axial water molecules approximately in the direction of the *b* axis. The second O atom (O11) is connected with the equatorial water molecule of the complex. Altogether, a hydrogen-bond network arises with dominating bonds along the *b* and *a* axes, as shown in Fig. 10.

There are examples in the literature of salts with tetranitratoferrate anions, $[Fe(NO_3)_4]^-$, where the nitrate groups coordinate in a bidentate fashion, resulting in a coordination number of eight for Fe^{III} (Addison & Chapman, 1965; Tikhomirov *et al.*, 2002; Blackwell *et al.*, 1975; Fedorova *et al.*, 2002). However, the pentagonal–bipyramidal coordination of Fe^{III} has only been observed before for metal–organic Fe^{III} complexes (Andjelkovic *et al.*, 2002; Bonardi *et al.*, 1991). The





Noncoordinated water molecules in $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 4H_2O$ connecting two pentagonal-bipyramidal $[Fe(NO_3)_2(H_2O)_3]^+$ units *via* hydrogen bonds (black lines).



Figure 9

Interconnection of the structure units of $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·4H₂O, illustrated in Fig. 8 by hydrogen bonds (black lines) involving noncoordinated nitrate ions.



Figure 10

Hydrogen-bond network in $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·4H₂O, with dominating bonds along the *b* axis. For clarity, only the Fe $-OH_2$ bonds (thick lines, green in the electronic version of the paper) of the complex units are shown. reported structure for the iron(III) nitrate tetrahydrate represents the first simple iron(III) salt with such a coordination geometry.

Experimental

Iron(III) nitrate hexahydrate, (I), was prepared by recrystallizing $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 5H_2O$ (2 g) from pure nitric acid (1 ml of 96.2% HNO₃) and concentrating the liquid by evaporation at reduced pressure. Colourless cubic crystals of 2–4 mm size were obtained after 14 d. The selected crystal was cut and embedded in perfluorinated ether for single-crystal diffraction experiments.

Iron(III) nitrate pentahydrate, (II), was prepared according to the method of Addison & Chapman (1965). Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (10 g) was reacted under vigorous stirring in an excess of liquid N₂O₄ (30 ml) for 3–5 d at room temperature to form a pale-yellow powder of the pentahydrate. To obtain bigger crystals, the N₂O₄ was removed under vacuum and the remaining mixture was recrystallized in sealed glass tubes by warming and subsequent cooling to room temperature. A piece of the 1 mm-sized crystal was cut and embedded in perfluorether to prevent contact with the air humidity before being mounted on the single-crystal diffractometer.

Iron(III) nitrate tetrahydrate, (III), was prepared using a method analogous to that used for the hexahydrate using $Fe(NO_3)_3$ ·5H₂O (2 g) in pure nitric acid (1 ml of 96.2% HNO₃). Brown needle-shaped crystals with a size of approximately 5 × 0.2 mm were obtained after 14 d. One piece of a crystal was cut and embedded in perfluorether before being mounted on the single-crystal diffractometer.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

 $[Fe(H_2O)_6](NO_3)_3$ $M_r = 349.98$ Cubic, $Ia\overline{3}$ a = 13.7962 (2) Å V = 2625.90 (7) Å³

Data collection

Bruker X8 Kappa APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: numerical (APEX2; Bruker, 2005) $T_{min} = 0.623, T_{max} = 0.715$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$ $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 1.09505 reflections 39 parameters

Compound (II)

Crystal data

 $[Fe(NO_3)(H_2O)_5](NO_3)_2$ $M_r = 331.96$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 6.7693 (4) Å b = 7.0692 (4) Å c = 11.6734 (6) Å $\alpha = 85.568$ (2)° $\beta = 80.655$ (1)° Z = 8Mo K α radiation $\mu = 1.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 190 K $0.43 \times 0.35 \times 0.29 \text{ mm}$

9913 measured reflections 505 independent reflections 432 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.027$

3 restraints All H-atom coordinates refined $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.58 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\gamma = 70.279 \ (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 518.72 \ (5) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 2Mo K α radiation $\mu = 1.55 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K $0.47 \times 0.35 \times 0.23 \text{ mm}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H2\cdots O3$ $O1-H1\cdots O3^{i}$	0.82 (1) 0.82 (1)	1.89 (1) 1.89 (1)	2.695 (2) 2.6946 (19)	169 (3) 169 (3)
				-

9108 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$

14 restraints

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.46 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

V = 1005.86 (16) Å³

 $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$

11328 measured reflections

2303 independent reflections

1985 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

All H-atom coordinates refined

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 1.58 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$

12 restraints

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Z = 4

2373 independent reflections

2293 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

All H-atom coordinates refined

Symmetry code: (i) $-z + 1, -x + \frac{3}{2}, y$.

Data collection

Bruker X8 Kappa APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2006) $T_{min} = 0.528, T_{max} = 0.716$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.059$	
S = 1.02	
2373 reflections	
203 parameters	

Compound (III)

Crystal data

 $[Fe(NO_3)_2(H_2O)_3]NO_3 \cdot H_2O$ $M_r = 313.94$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 7.0696 (7) Å b = 15.1917 (16) Å c = 9.4264 (7) Å $\beta = 96.508$ (3)°

Data collection

Bruker X8 Kappa APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2006) $T_{\min} = 0.451, T_{\max} = 0.602$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$ $wR(F^2) = 0.055$ S = 1.072303 reflections 186 parameters

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O2-H1\cdots O9^{i}$	0.82(1)	1.93 (1)	2.7339 (14)	169 (2)
O2−H2···O13	0.78 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.6933 (14)	169 (2)
O1−H3···O14 ⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	1.89(1)	2.7027 (13)	171 (2)
$O1 - H4 \cdots O12$	0.82(1)	2.02 (1)	2.8069 (13)	161 (2)
O3−H5···O8 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	1.90(1)	2.7062 (13)	168 (2)
O3−H5···N1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	2.54 (1)	3.2543 (14)	147 (2)
O3−H5···O7 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	2.57 (2)	3.0736 (13)	121 (2)
O3−H6···O10 ⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	1.84 (1)	2.6571 (13)	174 (2)
$O4-H7\cdots O14^{iv}$	0.82(1)	1.82 (1)	2.6338 (13)	175 (2)
O4−H8···O11 ⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	2.01 (1)	2.7844 (13)	159 (2)
$O5-H9\cdots O9^{v}$	0.82(1)	1.89 (1)	2.6934 (14)	168 (2)
$O5-H10\cdots O10^{i}$	0.82(1)	2.19(1)	2.8691 (13)	142 (2)
O5−H10···O9	0.82 (1)	2.55 (2)	3.0377 (14)	120 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x, y + 1, z; (iii) x - 1, y + 1, z; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

All water H atoms were initially located in difference Fourier maps and then their positions were refined with O-H and $H \cdots H$ distance restraints of 0.820 (5) and 1.30 (2) Å, respectively.

For all compounds, data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: APEX2; data reduction: APEX2; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: YP3012). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Addison, C. C. (1980). Chem. Rev. 80, 21-39.
- Addison, C. C. & Chapman, D. J. (1965). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 819-821.
- Andjelkovic, K., Bacchi, A., Pelizzi, G., Jeremic, D. & Ivanovic-Burmazovic, I. (2002). J. Coord. Chem. 55, 1385-1392.
- Blackwell, L. J., Nunn, E. K. & Wallwork, S. C. (1975). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2068-2072.
- Bonardi, A., Merlo, C., Pelizzi, C., Pelizzi, G., Tarasconi, P. & Cavatorta, F. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1063-1069.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2006). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cameron, F. K. & Robinson, W. O. (1909). J. Phys. Chem. 13, 251-255.
- EL Goundali, B. & Kaddami, M. (2006). C. R. Chim. 9, 1488-1492.
- EL Goundali, B. & Kaddami, M. (2007). Fluid Phase Equilib. 260, 295-299.

Table 3

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (III).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1-H1\cdots O12^i$	0.82(1)	1.87 (1)	2.6858 (16)	177 (2)
$O1-H2\cdots O4^{ii}$	0.81(1)	1.82 (1)	2.6304 (17)	173 (2)
O3−H3···O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.81(1)	2.09 (1)	2.8627 (17)	158 (2)
O3−H3···O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.81(1)	2.44 (2)	2.9572 (16)	122 (2)
$O3-H4\cdots O12^{iv}$	0.82(1)	1.87 (1)	2.6852 (17)	174 (2)
O2-H5···O4	0.82(1)	1.91 (1)	2.7036 (16)	163 (2)
O2−H6···O11	0.82(1)	1.90(1)	2.7117 (16)	172 (2)
$O4-H7\cdots O9^{v}$	0.82(1)	2.44 (2)	3.0518 (17)	133 (2)
O4−H7···O7 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82(1)	2.48 (2)	3.0896 (17)	132 (2)
O4−H7···O13	0.82(1)	2.51 (2)	3.0140 (17)	121 (2)
$O4-H8\cdots O12^{iv}$	0.82 (1)	2.31 (2)	2.9587 (17)	137 (2)
$O4-H8\cdots O6^{v}$	0.82 (1)	2.55 (2)	3.0163 (16)	118 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (iv) x - 1, y, z; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

EL Goundali, B. & Kaddami, M. (2008). J. Alloys Compd, 460, 544-548. Fedorova, A. A., Chizhov, P. S., Morozov, I. V. & Troyanov, S. I. (2002). Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 47, 1845-1852.

- Hair, N. J. & Beattie, J. K. (1977). Inorg. Chem. 16, 245-250.
- Hathaway, B. J. & Underhill, A. E. (1960). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 648-654.
- Malquori, G. (1929a). Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, 9, 324-325.
- Malquori, G. (1929b). Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, 9, 414-416.
- Rodenko, V. V. & Panov, V. P. (1994). Zh. Prikl. Khim. 67, 1057-1061.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Tikhomirov, G., Morozov, I., Znamenkov, K., Kemnitz, E. & Troyanov, S. (2002). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 628, 872-876.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.